



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,927

07/13/2006

Masaki Hirohashi

043890-0931

1371

53080

7590

03/16/2009

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
600 13TH STREET, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

ROY, SIKHA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2879

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/585,927	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HIROHASHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sikha Roy	<b>Art Unit</b> 2879	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sikha Roy. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Ramyar Farid. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP 05-190150 to Hoshizaki et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding claim 7 the Applicant's representative Mr. Farid pointed out that no new limitation was introduced in the amended claim 7 and hence because of presentation of new rejection of the claim, the action should not have been made final and the Examiner agreed. The Examiner noted that finality of the previous action would be withdrawn and rejection of claim 1 (in view of JP 05-190150) would be reconsidered upon receipt of the Applicant's response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sikha Roy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879	
--	--